

Generalized simplicial chiral models

Masoud Alimohammadi ¹

*Physics Department, University of Teheran, North Karegar Ave.,
Tehran, Iran*

*Institute for Studies in Theoretical Physics and Mathematics,
P.O.Box 5531, Tehran 19395, Iran*

Abstract

Using the auxiliary field representation of the simplicial chiral models on a $(d-1)$ -dimensional simplex, the simplicial chiral models are generalized through replacing the term $\text{Tr}(AA^\dagger)$ in the Lagrangian of these models by an arbitrary class function of $AA^\dagger$; $V(AA^\dagger)$. This is the same method used in defining the generalized two-dimensional Yang–Mills theories (gYM₂) from ordinary YM₂. We call these models, the “generalized simplicial chiral models”. Using the results of the one-link integral over a $U(N)$ matrix, the large- N saddle-point equations for eigenvalue density function $\rho(z)$ in the weak ($\beta > \beta_c$) and strong ($\beta < \beta_c$) regions are computed. In $d = 2$, where the model is in some sense related to the gYM₂ theory, the saddle-point equations are solved for $\rho(z)$ in the two regions, and the explicit value of critical point β_c is calculated for $V(B)=\text{Tr}B^n$ ($B = AA^\dagger$). For $V(B)=\text{Tr}B^2, \text{Tr}B^3$, and $\text{Tr}B^4$, the critical behaviour of the model at $d = 2$ is studied, and by calculating the internal energy, it is shown that these models have a third order phase transition.

PACS numbers: 11.15.Pg, 11.30.Rd, 11.15.Ha

Keywords: large N , phase transition, eigenvalue density function, simplex, chiral models

¹e-mail:alimohmd@theory.ipm.ac.ir

1 Introduction

One of the useful approaches in studying the large-scale structure of non-Abelian gauge theories is via the lattice formulation introduced by Wilson [1], in which a matrix-valued field theory is defined on a lattice. After the work of Wilson, several other matrix models have been introduced and their properties have been studied. One of the most important features of matrix models, is their large- N behaviour, where N is a quantity related to the number of field components. This goes back to the original work by Stanley [2] on the large- N limit of spin system with $O(N)$ symmetry, soon followed by Wilson's suggestion that the $1/N$ expansion may be a valuable alternative in the context of renormalization group evaluation of critical exponents, and by 't Hooft extension [3] to gauge theories and, more generally, to fields belonging to the adjoint representation of $SU(N)$ groups. Therefore the $1/N$ expansion is probably the most important nonperturbative and analytical tool presently available in the study of matrix models. Unfortunately, application of this tool is limited to a small number of few-matrix systems. This number is even smaller if we restrict ourselves to the case of unitary matrix fields, which is especially relevant to the problem of lattice QCD. To the best of our knowledge, the only solved examples are Gross-Witten's single-link problem [4] and its generalizations, the external field problem [5, 6], and $L = 3, 4$ chiral chains [7, 8].

One of the important classes of the unitary matrix models, as mentioned above, are few unitary-matrix systems. The interest for few-matrix models may arise for various reasons. For example, their large- N solutions may represent non-trivial bench-marks for new methods meant to investigate the large- N limit of more complex matrix models, such as QCD. Furthermore, every matrix system may have a role in the context of two-dimensional quantum gravity; indeed, via the double scaling limit, its critical behaviour is connected to two-dimensional models of matter coupled to gravity. For more discussion about these models see ref. [9].

An interesting class of finite-lattice matrix models is obtained by considering the possibility that each of a finite number of unitary matrices may interact in a fully symmetric way with each other, while preserving global chiral invariance; the resulting system can be described as a chiral model on a $(d - 1)$ -dimensional simplex. A simplex is formed by connecting d vertices by $d(d - 1)/2$ links. These models are known as "simplicial chiral models" (SCM) [10]. In ref. [11], the large- N saddle-point equations for density function $\rho(z)$ of these models have been found. The main strategy for the determination of these equations, is based on the introduction of a single auxiliary variable A (a complex matrix), leading to the decoupling of the unitary matrix interaction. The resulting action, contains a $\text{Tr}(AA^\dagger)$ term and some other linear terms in A and $A^\dagger$. Performing the single-link external field integral, the authors of [10] have found the saddle-point equations. In $d = 2$ (where the model

corresponds to the Gross–Witten single–link problem, which in turn is equivalent to large- N QCD₂ with Wilson action on the lattice), $d = 4$, and $d \rightarrow \infty$, the saddle–point equations have been studied analytically. It was shown that the critical value of $\beta (= (g^2 N)^{-1}$, where g is coupling constant) is $\beta_c = 1/d$ for all d . Also for $0 \leq d < 4$, it has been shown that the model exhibits the third–order phase transition, and for $4 < d < \infty$ it has a first–order phase transition.

On the other hand, it is known that the pure 2–dimensional Yang–Mills theory (YM₂), with $\text{Tr}(F^2)$ Lagrangian, can be also represented by the Lagrangian $i\text{Tr}(BF) + \text{Tr}(B^2)$, in which B is an auxiliary pseudo–scalar field in the adjoint representation of the gauge group. Path integration over B , leaves an effective Lagrangian $\text{Tr}(F^2)$. Now the generalized 2–dimensional Yang–Mills theory (gYM₂) is a theory with the above Lagrangian in which the term $\text{Tr}(B^2)$ is replaced by an arbitrary class function $f(B)$ [12]. The partition function of gYM₂ has been calculated in different contexts in [12], [13], and [14]. Also the phase structure of these models, at large- N limit, have been studied in [15], in [16] for $f(B) = \text{Tr}(B^4)$, and in [17] for $f(B) = \text{Tr}(B^6)$ and $\text{Tr}(B^2) + g\text{Tr}(B^4)$. In [16] and [17], it has been shown that these models have a third–order phase transition, as ordinary YM₂.

In this paper, we want to introduce and study the generalized simplicial chiral models (gSCM), in the same fashion that gYM₂ has been defined, that is, through replacement of the term $\text{Tr}(AA^\dagger)$ in SCM by $V(AA^\dagger)$, where $V(AA^\dagger)$ is an arbitrary class function of $AA^\dagger$. In this way, we have a new few–matrix model on a $(d-1)$ –simplex which, in the special case $V = \text{Tr}(AA^\dagger)$, reduces to ordinary SCM. In $d = 2$, where this model is in some sense related to gYM₂, we see that the study of the phase structure of the model is much easier than the corresponding studies in gYM₂.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we introduce the gSCM and, using the single–link integral method, find the large- N saddle–point equations for eigenvalue density function $\rho(z)$ in the weak and strong regions. Since these equations for arbitrary $V(B)$ ($B = AA^\dagger$) are complicated, they can not be solved analytically for arbitrary d . Note that this is also true for $V(B) = \text{Tr}B$, i.e. SCM, and as mentioned earlier, for very few cases one can compute the density function $\rho(z)$ analytically. Here the situation is worse and therefore we restrict ourselves to $d = 2$. In section 3, the general case $V(B) = \text{Tr}(B^n)$, with n an arbitrary positive integer number, is considered and an expression for internal energy in terms of $\rho(z)$ (for arbitrary d), and also the critical value β_c for $d = 2$ are found. In section 4, considering the leading terms of $\rho(z)$ (near the critical point) in weak and strong regions (again in $d = 2$), it is shown that for $V(B) = \text{Tr}(B^2)$, $\text{Tr}(B^3)$, and $\text{Tr}(B^4)$ the model exhibits a third order phase transition. We believe that this behaviour (the third order phase transition) is the same for all $V(B) = \text{Tr}(B^n)$ models.

2 gSCM and their saddle–point equation

If we assign a $U(N)$ matrix to each vertex of a $(d-1)$ –dimensional simplex, then the partition function of simplicial chiral models is defined by [10]

$$Z_d(\beta, N) = \int \prod_{i=1}^d dU_i \exp\{N\beta \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=i+1}^d \text{Tr}(U_i U_j^\dagger + U_i^\dagger U_j)\}, \quad (1)$$

where dU_i is the normalized invariant Haar measure. The d –matrix simplicial model has an underlying permutation symmetry instead of the cyclic symmetry of the d –matrix chiral chain. But for $d = 1, 2$, and 3 these two symmetries and the associated models are equivalent. The free energy, internal energy, and specific heat are respectively given by

$$\begin{aligned} F_d(\beta, N) &= \frac{1}{N^2} \ln Z_d(\beta, N), \\ U_d(\beta, N) &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial F_d(\beta, N)}{\partial \beta}, \\ c_d(\beta, N) &= \beta^2 \frac{\partial U_d(\beta, N)}{\partial \beta}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

As mentioned earlier, the main strategy for determination of the large– N saddle–point equation is based on the introduction of a single auxiliary variable A (a complex matrix), leading to the decoupling of the unitary matrix interaction [10]

$$Z_d = \tilde{Z}_d / \tilde{Z}_0, \quad (3)$$

where

$$\tilde{Z}_d = \int \prod_{i=1}^d dU_i dA \exp\{-N\beta \text{Tr} AA^\dagger + N\beta \text{Tr} A \sum_i U_i^\dagger + N\beta \text{Tr} A^\dagger \sum_i U_i - N^2 \beta d\}. \quad (4)$$

Performing the single–link integral over the matrices U_i

$$e^{NW(BB^\dagger)} \equiv \int dU \exp[N\text{Tr}(B^\dagger U + U^\dagger B)], \quad (5)$$

we obtain

$$\tilde{Z}_d = \int dA \exp\{-N\beta \text{Tr} AA^\dagger + NdW(\beta^2 AA^\dagger) - N^2 \beta d\}. \quad (6)$$

Now we define the generalized simplicial chiral model (gSCM) through the partition function

$$Z_{d,V} = \tilde{Z}_{d,V} / \tilde{Z}_{0,V}, \quad (7)$$

where

$$\tilde{Z}_{d,V} = \int dA \exp\{-N\beta V(AA^\dagger) + NdW(\beta^2 AA^\dagger) - N^2 \beta d\}, \quad (8)$$

in which $V(AA^\dagger)$ is an arbitrary class function of $AA^\dagger$, that is

$$V(GAA^\dagger G^{-1}) = V(AA^\dagger), \quad \forall G \in U(N). \quad (9)$$

The requirement (9) is satisfied for every polynomial

$$V(AA^\dagger) = \sum_{n=1} a_n \text{Tr}(AA^\dagger)^n. \quad (10)$$

The crucial point in our analysis is that the integrand in eq.(8) is a function of eigenvalues x_i of the Hermitian semi-positive-definite matrix $\beta^2 AA^\dagger$. Moreover, as $\tilde{Z}_{d,V}$ is invariant under $A \rightarrow G^\dagger A G'$ (where G and G' are arbitrary unitary matrices), one can perform exactly the “angular” integration and reduce the problem to that of integration over N variables [18]. Therefore, performing the angular integration, eq.(8) leads to

$$\tilde{Z}_{d,V} = \int d\mu(x_i) \exp\{-N\beta V(x_i/\beta^2) + NdW(x_i) - N^2\beta d\}, \quad (11)$$

where

$$d\mu(x_i) = \prod_i dx_i \prod_{i>j} (x_i - x_j)^2. \quad (12)$$

In the large- N limit, in which we are interested, the free-energy function $W(x_i)$, resulting from a single-link integral over a $U(N)$ matrix, can be extracted by solving the Schwinger-Dyson equations. It is written in a simple closed form [5, 6]

$$W(x_i) = 2 \sum_i \sqrt{x_i + c} - \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{ij} \ln(\sqrt{x_i + c} + \sqrt{x_j + c}) - Nc - \frac{3}{4}N. \quad (13)$$

We must distinguish two different phases, a weak-coupling regime where $c = 0$ and

$$\frac{1}{2N} \sum_i \frac{1}{\sqrt{x_i}} \leq 1, \quad (14)$$

and a strong-coupling regime where c is dynamically determined by the condition

$$\frac{1}{2N} \sum_i \frac{1}{\sqrt{x_i + c}} = 1. \quad (15)$$

Therefore, in the large- N limit the partition function (11) reduces to

$$\tilde{Z}_{d,V} = \int \prod_{i=1}^N dx_i e^{-S_{d,V}(\{x_i\})}, \quad (16)$$

with the action

$$S_{d,V}(\{x_i\}) = -2 \sum_{i>j} \ln|x_i - x_j| + N\beta V(x_i/\beta^2) + N^2\beta d$$

$$-Nd\{2\sum_i\sqrt{x_i+c}-\frac{1}{2N}\sum_{ij}\ln(\sqrt{x_i+c}+\sqrt{x_j+c})-Nc-\frac{3}{4}N\}, \quad (17)$$

and the saddle-point equation $\partial S/\partial x_i = 0$ becomes (after multiplying it by $(1/N)\sqrt{x_i+c}$)

$$\beta\sqrt{x_i+c}\frac{d}{dx_i}V(x_i/\beta^2)-d=\frac{1}{2N}\sum_{j\neq i}\frac{(4-d)\sqrt{x_i+c}+d\sqrt{x_j+c}}{x_i-x_j}, \quad (18)$$

with the condition $x_i \geq 0$. To study eq.(18), we use the standard technique which is based on the eigenvalue density function. It is however convenient first to introduce a new variable z_i with definition

$$z_i = \sqrt{x_i+c}, \quad (19)$$

subject to the condition $0 \leq \sqrt{c} \leq z_i$. If the eigenvalue variable x_i varies in the interval $[x_a, x_b]$, $0 \leq x_a \leq x_b$, then the new variable z_i lies in interval $[a, b]$ where $a = \sqrt{c+x_a}$, $b = \sqrt{c+x_b}$, and

$$0 \leq \sqrt{c} \leq a \leq b. \quad (20)$$

In the weak-coupling regime, $c = 0$, one expects in general $a = \sqrt{x_a} > 0$, and in the strong-coupling regime, one expects $x_a = 0$ so that $a = \sqrt{c} \neq 0$ [11].

Now, using the large- N eigenvalue density function $\rho(z)$, which vanishes outside the interval $[a, b]$, the saddle-point equation (18) can be turned into the following integral equation

$$z \sum_{n=1} \frac{na_n}{\beta^{2n-1}}(z^2-c)^{n-1} - d = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{P} \int_a^b dz' \rho(z') \left(\frac{2}{z-z'} - \frac{d-2}{z+z'} \right), \quad (21)$$

in which we use the expression (10) for V and $\mathcal{P}$ indicates the principal value of integral. In this equation, the parameters a and b must be determined dynamically. The normalization condition of $\rho(z)$ is

$$\int_a^b \rho(z') dz' = 1. \quad (22)$$

In the weak coupling regime, $c_w = 0$ and the condition (14) in the large- N limit becomes

$$\int_a^b dz' \frac{\rho(z')}{z'} \leq 2. \quad (23)$$

In the strong coupling regime, where c is

$$c_s = a^2, \quad (24)$$

the condition (15) becomes

$$\int_a^b dz' \frac{\rho(z')}{z'} = 2. \quad (25)$$

Eq. (21) has a somewhat unconventional form when compared to other integral equations, and one must perform a few manipulations to obtain a more familiar equation. To do so, we define the function $H(z)$ in the complex- z plane

$$H(z) = \int_a^b \frac{\rho(\lambda)}{z - \lambda} d\lambda. \quad (26)$$

This function is analytic on the entire complex plane except for a cut on the positive real axis in the interval $[a, b]$. Then one has

$$H(z \pm i\epsilon) = R(z) \mp i\pi\rho(z) \quad , \quad b \leq z \leq a, \quad (27)$$

where $R(z)$, from eq.(21), is

$$\begin{aligned} R(z) &= z \sum_{n=1} \frac{na_n}{\beta^{2n-1}} (z^2 - c)^{n-1} - d + \frac{d-2}{2} \int_a^b \frac{\rho(z')}{z + z'} dz' \\ &= z \sum_{n=1} \frac{na_n}{\beta^{2n-1}} (z^2 - c)^{n-1} - d - \frac{d-2}{2} H(-z). \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

The constraints (23) and (25) for weak and strong-coupling regimes, respectively, result in $H_w(0) \geq -2$ and $H_s(0) = -2$. But, from eqs. (27) and (28), it can be shown that $H(0)$ must satisfy $H(0) = -d - \frac{d-2}{2} H(0) - i\pi\rho(0)$, or $H(0) = -2 - (2\pi i/d)\rho(0)$. So the weak density function must satisfy

$$-i\rho_w(0) \geq 0, \quad (29)$$

and strong density function must satisfy

$$-i\rho_s(0) = 0. \quad (30)$$

Therefore, the analytical properties of $H(z)$ in the two regimes must be such that the constraints (29) and (30) are satisfied. Using the standard method of solving the integral equations [19], one can show that the expression

$$H_w(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sqrt{(z-a)(z-b)} \oint_c \frac{R_w(\lambda) d\lambda}{(z-\lambda) \sqrt{(\lambda-a)(\lambda-b)}}, \quad (31)$$

has the correct analytical behaviour in weak-coupling region. The contour c in (31) is a contour encircling the cut $[a, b]$ and excluding z . Deforming c to a contour around the point z and the contour c_∞ (a contour at the infinity), one finds

$$H_w(z) = R_w(z) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sqrt{(z-a)(z-b)} \oint_{c_\infty} \frac{R_w(\lambda) d\lambda}{(z-\lambda) \sqrt{(\lambda-a)(\lambda-b)}}. \quad (32)$$

Remembering $c_w = 0$ and using eqs.(27) and (28), it can be easily shown that the saddle-point equation (21) reduces to the following expression for the density function $\rho_w(z)$

$$\rho_w(z) = \frac{\sqrt{(b-z)(z-a)}}{\pi} \left\{ \sum_{n,p,q} \frac{na_n}{\beta^{2n-1}} C_p C_{2n-p-q-2} z^q a^p b^{2n-p-q-2} - \frac{d-2}{2} \int_a^b \frac{dy}{y+z} \frac{\rho_w(y)}{\sqrt{(b+y)(y+a)}} \right\} \quad \text{for } \beta > \beta_c, \quad (33)$$

where

$$C_m = \frac{(2m-1)!!}{2^m m!}. \quad (34)$$

In the strong-coupling regime, one can again show that

$$\begin{aligned} H_s(z) &= \frac{z}{2\pi i} \sqrt{\frac{z-b}{z-a}} \oint_c \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda-a}{\lambda-b}} \frac{R_s(\lambda)}{z-\lambda} \\ &= R_s(z) + \frac{z}{2\pi i} \sqrt{\frac{z-b}{z-a}} \oint_{c_\infty} \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda-a}{\lambda-b}} \frac{R_s(\lambda)}{z-\lambda} \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

and, as $c_s = a^2$ (eq.(24)), arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_s(z) &= -\frac{z}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{b-z}{z-a}} \left\{ \sum_{n,m,p,q} \frac{na_n}{\beta^{2n-1}} (-a^2)^p \binom{n-1}{p} B_m C_{2n-2p-m-q-2} z^q a^m b^{2n-2p-m-q-2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{d-2}{2} \int_a^b \frac{dy}{y+z} \sqrt{\frac{y+a}{y+b}} \frac{\rho_s(y)}{y} \right\} \quad \text{for } \beta < \beta_c, \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

in which

$$B_m = \frac{(2m-3)!!}{2^m m!}. \quad (37)$$

with $B_0 \equiv -1$. It can be seen that in the special case $a_n = \delta_{n,1}$ (which corresponds to $V(AA^\dagger) = \text{Tr}(AA^\dagger)$), the eqs.(33) and (36) reduce to the corresponding equations in [11].

As it is obvious from eqs.(33) and (36), here the situation is much more involved than in SCM, and the most of analytical calculations done in [11] can not be done here. One of these kinds of calculations is discussed in the next section. Therefore, let us restrict ourselves to the case $d = 2$, for which from eqs.(33) and (36) the density functions are known.

Other quantities that must be determined in $d = 2$ are the values of parameters a and b in the both regimes. To find these parameters, we note that at $|z| \rightarrow \infty$, eqs.(26) and (22) imply that $H(z) \rightarrow 1/z$ or $(1/\sqrt{(z-a)(z-b)}) H(z) \rightarrow 1/z^2$. Therefore, we can expand $(1/\sqrt{(z-a)(z-b)}) (R_w(z) - i\pi\rho_w(z))$ (at $d = 2$), and take the coefficients of $1/z$ and $1/z^2$ equal to 0 and 1, respectively. In this way we find the following equations which must be solved to determine a and b in $\beta > \beta_c$

$$\sum_{n,m} \frac{na_n}{\beta^{2n-1}} C_m C_{2n-m-1} a^m b^{2n-m-1} - 2 = 0, \quad (38)$$

and

$$\sum_{n,m} \frac{na_n}{\beta^{2n-1}} C_m C_{2n-m} a^m b^{2n-m} - (a+b) = 1. \quad (39)$$

In the strong region, as $(1/z)\sqrt{(z-a)/(z-b)}H(z) \rightarrow 1/z^2$ at $|z| \rightarrow \infty$, we can again expand $(1/z)\sqrt{(z-a)/(z-b)}(R_s(z) - i\pi\rho_s(z))$ (at $d=2$) and take the coefficients of $1/z$ and $1/z^2$ equal to 0 and 1, respectively, and then find the following equations which determine a and b in $\beta < \beta_c$

$$\sum_{n,m,p} \frac{na_n}{\beta^{2n-1}} (-a^2)^p \binom{n-1}{p} B_m C_{2n-2p-m-1} a^m b^{2n-2p-m-1} + 2 = 0, \quad (40)$$

and

$$- \sum_{n,m,p} \frac{na_n}{\beta^{2n-1}} (-a^2)^p \binom{n-1}{p} B_m C_{2n-2p-m} a^m b^{2n-2p-m} + a - b = 1. \quad (41)$$

Both sets of equations (38), (39) and (40), (41) are too complicated to be solved exactly. We discuss about the solutions of these equations in section 4.

3 Some general results for $V = \text{Tr}(AA^\dagger)^n$

Let us first derive an expression for the internal energy in terms of $\rho(z)$ for arbitrary d . If we denote the internal energy per unit link by $U_{d,V}$, then the first two equations of (2) lead to

$$\frac{d(d-1)}{2} U_{d,V} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial F_{d,V}}{\partial \beta} = \frac{1}{2N^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} (\ln \tilde{Z}_{d,V} - \ln \tilde{Z}_{0,V}), \quad (42)$$

where $d(d-1)/2$ is the number of links. Note that $\ln \tilde{Z}_{d,V} \approx -S_{d,V}\{\bar{x}_i\}$, where $\bar{x}_i$'s are the solutions of the saddle-point equation (18) with density functions (33) and (36) in the weak and strong regions, respectively, and $S_{d,V}\{x_i\}$ is given by eq.(17). Therefore, using eq.(17) for $V = \sum_i (x_i/\beta^2)^n$, the eq.(42) reduces to

$$d(d-1)U_{d,n} = \frac{2n-1}{N\beta^{2n}} \sum_i \bar{x}_i^n - d - \frac{1}{N^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \ln \tilde{Z}_{0,n}. \quad (43)$$

Now it is interesting that one can calculate the last term of the above equation exactly. In $d=0$, eq.(11) is

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Z}_{0,n} &= \int_0^\infty \prod_{i=1}^N dx_i \prod_{i>j} (x_i - x_j)^2 e^{-N\beta \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i/\beta^2)^n} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N=0 \\ \sum_i \alpha_i = N(N-1)}}^N D_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N} \int dx_1 \cdots dx_N x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_N^{\alpha_N} e^{-N\beta \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i/\beta^2)^n} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N=0 \\ \sum_i \alpha_i = N(N-1)}}^N D_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N} I_{\alpha_1} \cdots I_{\alpha_N}, \quad (44)$$

where $D_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N}$ are some unimportant constants and I_α is

$$\begin{aligned} I_\alpha &= \int_0^\infty dx x^\alpha e^{-N\beta(x/\beta^2)^n} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{\beta^{2n-1}}{N} \right)^{(\alpha+1)/n} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{n}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

Therefore

$$\tilde{Z}_{0,n} = \beta^{N^2(2n-1)/n} \times (\beta\text{-independent terms}), \quad (46)$$

and from this, eq.(43) becomes

$$d(d-1)U_{d,n} = \frac{2n-1}{N\beta^{2n}} \sum_i \bar{x}_i^n - d + \left(\frac{1}{n} - 2\right) \frac{1}{\beta}. \quad (47)$$

In the large- N limit, this equation for weak and strong regimes becomes

$$d(d-1)U_{d,n}^{(w)} = \frac{2n-1}{\beta^{2n}} \int_a^b z^{2n} \rho_w(z) dz - d + \left(\frac{1}{n} - 2\right) \frac{1}{\beta}, \quad (48)$$

and

$$d(d-1)U_{d,n}^{(s)} = \frac{2n-1}{\beta^{2n}} \int_a^b (z^2 - a^2)^n \rho_s(z) dz - d + \left(\frac{1}{n} - 2\right) \frac{1}{\beta}, \quad (49)$$

respectively. Again, in the case $n = 1$, where the model is SCM, the above equations reduce to the corresponding equations found in [11]. As can be seen from these relations, the power of variables in the integrands makes the integrations difficult to perform, even when the density functions are known. Let us show this by an example. It can be shown that in $d > 4$, the critical value of a is different from zero ($a_c \neq 0$) [11], and of course at this point $\rho_s = \rho_w = \rho_c$. Therefore, at the critical point we have

$$d(d-1)[U_{d,n}^{(s)} - U_{d,n}^{(w)}] = \frac{2n-1}{\beta_c^{2n}} \int_{a_c}^{b_c} [(z^2 - a_c^2)^n - z^{2n}] \rho_c(z) dz. \quad (50)$$

For SCM, where $n = 1$, the above difference reduces to (using eq.(22))

$$d(d-1)[U_{d,1}^{(s)} - U_{d,1}^{(w)}] = -\frac{a_c^2}{\beta_c^2} \int_{a_c}^{b_c} \rho_c(z) dz = -\frac{a_c^2}{\beta_c^2} \neq 0, \quad (51)$$

and this simply proves that there exists a first-order phase transition in SCM with $d > 4$, although we do not know the explicit form of $\rho_c(z)$. But if n is different from 1, the same calculation is not possible. For example if $n = 2$, eq.(50) gives

$$d(d-1)[U_{d,2}^{(s)} - U_{d,2}^{(w)}] = \frac{3a_c^2}{\beta_c^4} [a_c^2 - 2 \int_{a_c}^{b_c} \rho_c(z) z^2 dz]. \quad (52)$$

In this case one must know $\rho_c(z)$ to say something about the result.

So let us again restrict ourselves to $d = 2$, and try to find the critical value β_c for $V = \text{Tr}(AA^\dagger)^n$. As mentioned above, at the critical point $\rho_s(z) = \rho_w(z) = \rho_c(z)$ and therefore the constraint (30) must also hold for $\rho_w(z)$ at this point, *i.e.*, $\rho_w^{\text{critical}}(0) = 0$. Using (33) for $d = 2$ and putting $z = 0$, we obtain

$$\sqrt{a_c b_c} \sum_{p=0} C_p C_{2n-2p-2} a_c^p b_c^{2n-2p-2} = 0. \quad (53)$$

As the coefficients of all terms in the above equation are positive, and $0 \leq a_c < b_c$, the only nontrivial solution of (53) is

$$a_c = 0 \quad , \quad \text{for } d = 2. \quad (54)$$

Therefore, at the critical point eqs.(40) and (41) reduce to

$$\frac{n}{\beta_c^{2n-1}} B_0 C_{2n-1} b_c^{2n-1} + 2 = 0, \quad (55)$$

and

$$-\frac{n}{\beta_c^{2n-1}} B_0 C_{2n} b_c^{2n} - b_c = 1, \quad (56)$$

respectively. From these two equations, one can find the critical values b_c and β_c for $V = \text{Tr}(AA^\dagger)^n$ in $d = 2$ as following

$$b_c = \frac{2n}{2n-1},$$

$$\beta_c = \frac{2n}{2n-1} \left[\frac{n(4n-3)!!}{2^{2n}(2n-1)!} \right]^{\frac{1}{2n-1}}. \quad (57)$$

In the case $n = 1$ (SCM), eq.(57) gives $\beta_c = 1/2$, which is the correct value of β_c in the Gross-Witten single-link problem.

4 Phase structure in d=2

In this section we study the phase structure of some special cases of gSCM in $d = 2$ with $V = \text{Tr}(AA^\dagger)^n$.

4.1 $n = 1$ (YM₂)

In the weak-coupling regime, eqs.(38) and (39) are linear equations with solutions $a = 2\beta - \sqrt{2\beta}$ and $b = 2\beta + \sqrt{2\beta}$, and therefore eq.(33) leads to

$$\rho_w^{(n=1)}(z) = \frac{\sqrt{(b-z)(z-a)}}{\pi\beta} = \frac{\sqrt{2\beta - (z-2\beta)^2}}{\pi\beta}. \quad (58)$$

In the strong-coupling regime, eqs.(40) and (41) result $a = 1/2 - \beta$ and $b = 1/2 + \beta$, and therefore eq.(36) leads to

$$\rho_s^{(n=1)}(z) = \frac{z}{\pi\beta} \sqrt{\frac{b-z}{z-a}} = \frac{z}{\pi\beta} \sqrt{\frac{1+6\beta-2z}{2z-1+2\beta}}. \quad (59)$$

These equations are the density functions that have been obtained in [11] (note that in this paper, x_i 's are the eigenvalues of $\beta^2 AA^\dagger$, but in ref.[11] x_i 's are the eigenvalues of $4\beta^2 AA^\dagger$). If one computes the internal energies (48) and (49) by using these density functions, it is seen that

$$U_w^{(n=1)} = 1 - \frac{1}{4\beta}, \quad \text{for } \beta \geq \frac{1}{2}, \quad (60)$$

and

$$U_s^{(n=1)} = \beta, \quad \text{for } \beta \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad (61)$$

which are the results obtained in [4]. It is obvious from eqs.(60) and (61) that in the case $n = 1$, there exists a third-order phase transition.

4.2 $n = 2$ gSCM

In this case the eq.(57) leads to

$$b_c^{(n=2)} = \frac{4}{3},$$

$$\beta_c^{(n=2)} = \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{5}{2} \right)^{1/3}. \quad (62)$$

First consider the weak-coupling regime. The eqs.(38) and (39) give, respectively

$$5(a^3 + b^3) + 3ab(a + b) = 16\beta^3, \quad (63)$$

and

$$35(a^4 + b^4) + 20ab(a^2 + b^2) + 18a^2b^2 = 64\beta^3(a + b + 1). \quad (64)$$

These equations can not be solved analytically to obtain a and b , but as we want to study the phase structure of the model, it is sufficient to look at the solutions near the critical point. Therefore we expand the above equations around $a_c = 0$ and $b_c = 4/3$ up to second order, and then find a and b in terms of $\alpha = \beta - \beta_c$, again up to second order. The final result is

$$a_w = \frac{1}{4} 5^{2/3} 2^{1/3} \alpha - \frac{3}{64} 5^{1/3} 2^{2/3} \alpha^2 + \dots,$$

$$b_w = \frac{4}{3} + \frac{7}{20} 5^{2/3} 2^{1/3} \alpha - \frac{9}{320} 5^{1/3} 2^{2/3} \alpha^2 + \dots. \quad (65)$$

Now consider the density function $\rho(z)$ in the weak-coupling regime for $a_n = \delta_{n,2}$ and $d = 2$ (eq.(33)):

$$\rho_w^{(n=2)}(z) = \frac{2}{\pi\beta^3} \left[\frac{3}{8}(a^2 + b^2) + \frac{1}{4}ab + \frac{1}{2}(a+b)z + z^2 \right] \sqrt{(b-z)(z-a)}, \quad (66)$$

using this function, we calculate (using (48)) the internal energy (per unit link) in the weak-coupling regime

$$\begin{aligned} U_w^{(n=2)} &= \frac{3}{2\beta^4} \int_a^b \rho_w(z) z^4 dz - 1 - \frac{3}{4\beta} \\ &= \frac{9}{2^{12}\beta^7} \left[\frac{315}{8}(a^8 + b^8) - 7ab(a^6 + b^6) - \frac{19}{2}a^2b^2(a^4 + b^4) - 17a^3b^3(a^2 + b^2) - \frac{47}{4}a^4b^4 \right] \\ &\quad - 1 - \frac{3}{4\beta}. \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

If we substitute the expansions (65) in the above relation, we find the internal energy in terms of β , up to order α^2 , as following

$$U_w^{(n=2)} = \frac{279}{400} 5^{2/3} 2^{1/3} + 1 - \frac{333}{800} 5^{1/3} 2^{1/3} \alpha + \frac{189}{160} \alpha^2 + \dots \quad (68)$$

We can follow the same steps in the strong-coupling regime, this time using eqs.(40), (41), (36), and (49). The final results are

$$\begin{aligned} a_s &= -\frac{1}{4} 5^{2/3} 2^{1/3} \alpha - \frac{3}{16} 5^{1/3} 2^{2/3} \alpha^2 + \dots, \\ b_s &= \frac{4}{3} + \frac{7}{20} 5^{2/3} 2^{1/3} \alpha + \frac{9}{80} 5^{1/3} 2^{1/3} \alpha^2 + \dots, \\ U_s^{(n=2)} &= \frac{279}{400} 5^{2/3} 2^{1/3} + 1 - \frac{333}{800} 5^{1/3} 2^{1/3} \alpha + \frac{81}{40} \alpha^2 + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

and therefore

$$U_s^{(n=2)} - U_w^{(n=2)} = \frac{27}{32} (\beta - \beta_c)^2 + \dots \quad (70)$$

The above equation shows that we have a third-order phase transition for $V = \text{Tr}(AA^\dagger)^2$ of gSCM in $d = 2$.

4.3 $n = 3$ and $n = 4$ gSCM

In the case $n = 3$, $b_c = 6/5$ and $\beta_c = (3/80)(16)^{4/5}(189)^{1/5}$; and in the case $n = 4$, $b_c = 8/7$ and $\beta_c = (1/14)3^{1/7}8^{6/7}(143)^{1/7}$. The procedure is the same as $n = 2$ and after some calculations, we obtain the final results as following

$$U_s^{(n=3)} - U_w^{(n=3)} = \frac{1525}{23814} (378)^{2/5} (\beta - \beta_c)^2 + \dots, \quad (71)$$

$$U_s^{(n=4)} - U_w^{(n=4)} = \frac{343}{16896}(429)^{4/7}2^{2/7}(\beta - \beta_c)^2 + \dots \quad (72)$$

These relations show that for $V = \text{Tr}(AA^\dagger)^3$ and $\text{Tr}(AA^\dagger)^4$ of gSCM in $d = 2$, the models exhibit third-order phase transition, as in the YM theory. We expect that the same behaviour exists in all gSCM with $V = \text{Tr}(AA^\dagger)^n$.

5 Conclusion

In this paper the SCM was generalized by a method very similar to the method which have been used in the generalization of YM theories. This generalization may be interesting from several points of view. First, as mentioned in the introduction, many few matrix-models can be solved analytically in the large- N limit. gSCM's are models that can be studied analytically in this limit. Second, the gSCM at $d = 2$ can be treated as the lattice version of gYM theory, which is an important two-dimensional candidate of QCD. And third, which is somehow related to the second, the study of these models (gSCM) is much more simple than the gYM. For instance, the proof of the existence of third-order phase transition in $f(B) = \text{Tr}B^4$ gYM theory [16], which corresponds to our $V = \text{Tr}(AA^\dagger)^2$ model, is more difficult than the proof which is given in section 4.2.

Acknowledgement

I would like to thank the research council of the University of Tehran, for partial financial support.

References

- [1] K. Wilson; Phys. Rev. **D10** (1974) 2445.
- [2] H. E. Stanley; Phys. Rev. **176** (1968) 718.
- [3] G. 't Hooft; Nucl. Phys. **B72** (1974) 461.
- [4] D. J. Gross and E. Witten; Phys. Rev. **D21** (1980) 446.
- [5] R. C. Brower and M. Nauenberg; Nucl. Phys. **B180** (1981) 221.
- [6] E. Brezin and D. J. Gross; Phys. Lett. **B97** (1980) 120.
- [7] R. C. Brower, P. Rossi and C. -I. Tan; Phys. Rev. **D23** (1981) 942; **D23** (1981) 953.

- [8] D. Friedan; Commun. Math. Phys. **78** (1981) 353.
- [9] P. Rossi, M. Campostrini and E. Vicari; Phys. Rep. **302** (1998) 143.
- [10] P. Rossi and C. -I. Tan; Phys. Rev. **D51** (1995) 7159.
- [11] R. C. Brower, M. Campostrini, K. Orginos, P. Rossi, C. -I. Tan, and E. Vicari; Phys. Rev. **D53** (1996) 3230.
- [12] E. Witten; Jour. Geom. Phys. **9** (1992) 303.
- [13] M. R. Douglas, K. Li and M. Staudacher; Nucl. Phys. **B240** (1994) 140;
O. Ganor, J. Sonnenschein, and S. Yankielowicz; Nucl. Phys. **B434** (1995) 139.
- [14] M. Khorrami and M. Alimohammadi; Mod. Phys. Lett. **A12** (1997) 2265.
- [15] B. Rusakov and S. Yankielowicz; Phys. Lett. **B339** (1994) 258.
- [16] M. Alimohammadi, M. Khorrami and, A. Aghamohammadi; Nucl. Phys. **B510** (1998) 313.
- [17] M. Alimohammadi and A. Tofghi; Eur. Phys. Jour. **C8** (1999) 711.
- [18] T. R. Morris; Nucl. Phys. **B356** (1991) 703.
- [19] D. Gakhov; “Boundary problems”, Russian edition (Nauka, 1975),
A. C. Pipkin; “A course on integral equations” (Springer, Berlin, 1991).